# Statistics 301: Probability and Statistics

Simple Linear Regression (SLR)

Module 12

2018

### Simple Linear Regression (slr)

- SLR analysis explores the linear association between an explanatory (independent) variable, usually denoted as x, and a response (dependent) variable, usually denoted as y
- This type of data is called bivariate data (data with two (bi) variables)
- The point is to see if we can use a mathematical linear model to describe the association (relationship) between the two variables
- Using one known value to estimate the other value, in addition to seeing how strong the relationship is
- You are familiar with y = mx + b from algebra, where m is the slope and b is the y-intercept (value of y when x = 0), which is a mathematical linear equation, a *deterministic* equation.

#### The population regression model

Notice that it is basically the same as you have seen and used before (y = mx + b):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

Where:

- $y_i$ : value of the response (dependent) variable
- $\beta_0$ : the value of the *y*-intercept (when x = 0)
- $\beta_1$ : the value of the slope (the change in y due to a one unit increase in x, not  $\frac{rise}{rise}$ )
- $\epsilon_i$ : the residual (error) term

#### The sample regression model

Is used once there are estimated values from the data:

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$$
 or  $\hat{y} = a + bx$ 

Where:

- $\hat{y}_i$ : estimate of the value of the  $i^{th}$  response (dependent) variable
- $\hat{\beta}_0$  (a): the estimate of the value of the y-intercept ( $\hat{y}$  when x = 0)
- $\hat{\beta}_1$  (b): the estimate of the value of the slope (the change in y due to a one unit increase in x. Not  $\frac{rise}{run}$ )
- Note that  $\epsilon_i$  dropped off from the other model. This is because of the first assumption of regression,  $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ : the mean of the residuals = 0.

### Assumptions of SLR

- (1)  $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ : the mean of the residuals is 0
- (2)  $V(\epsilon_i) = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ : the variance of the residuals is constant (the same) for all values of  $\hat{y}$ . Also called constant variance, homogeneity of variance (means same variance)
- (3)  $Cov(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$ : independence of residuals
- (4)  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ : Residuals have an approximate normal distribution with mean 0 and homogeneous variance

#### Residuals

The vertical distances of each point  $(X_i, Y_i)$  from the line, are called residuals (also referred to as errors).

**Residuals**:  $\epsilon_i$  are the population residuals and  $\hat{\epsilon}_i = e_i$  are the sample residuals

 $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}$ . If  $e_i > 0$ , the model *understimated* the response and if  $e_i < 0$ , the model *overstimated* the response.

### **Residual variation**

 $s_{\epsilon}^2 = \frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2}$  the average squared distance between each estimated y and the observed value of y, called MSE, mean squared error, or residual variance (the variance of the residuals).

 $s_{\epsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2}}$  the average distance between each estimated y and the observed value of y, called *RMSE*, root mean squared error, or residual standard error (the standard error of the residuals).

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

- First thing that is necessary is to look at a scatterplot of the two variables; the scatterplot will show if there is a linear association between the explanatory (independent) variable and the response (dependent) variable
- The point of visually checking the scatterplot **before** doing the regression analysis is decide if there is at least a fair linear relationship between x and y
- If you do not have a linear relationship, then use of regression analysis is not recommended as the results cannot be used with the given dataset
- The regression line is also called a trend line.

#### Module example data

With the example throughout this lecture will be Old Faithful; eruptions is the duration of the eruption of Old Faithful and waiting is the interval between eruptions, both in minutes.

Eruptions will be the explanatory (independent) variable and waiting will be the response (dependent) variable, modelling waiting time by eruption duration; in other words, we are using the eruption time to estimate the time until the next eruption. Let x=eruptions and y=waiting.

	eruptions	waiting
1	3.600	79
2	1.800	54
3	3.333	74
4	2.283	62
5	4.533	85

#### 6 2.883 55

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

This has positive slope (x increases and y increases)



### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

This has negative slope (x increases and y decreases)





Time

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

This has 0 slope (and a lot of random scatter)

 $^{\circ}{}^{\circ}$ 0 00 0 Ø 00 000 0 0.8 ବ 00 ഹ 0 e 0 80 0 0 0 ര 80 õ  $\mathcal{O}$ 0 Ø >0 0 0.4 00 0<sup>0</sup>0 2 0 0 0 8 00 00 00 0 0 8 00 8<sub>0</sub> 0 S 0.0 0 άP 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 Х

b = 0

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

This has 0 slope

b = 0



### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph with regression line



### **Raw Data Scatterplot for Old Faithfi**

Slope and intercept formulas

Slope:

$$b = \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{s_x^2(n-1)}$$

Intercept:

 $a = \overline{y} - b\overline{x}$ 

### Correlation

To determine the strength of the relationship between two quantitative variables, we use a measure called *correlation* 

**Defn**: Is a calculation that measures the strength and direction (positive or negative) of the *linear* relationship between 2 quantitative variables, x and y

#### $\mathbf{Correlation} \neq \mathbf{causation}$

It is *extremely* important to note that just because two variables have a mathematical correlation **IT DOES NOT MEAN** X **CAUSES** Y!!!. To establish actual causation, repeatable experimentation must be done.

#### **Correlation** logistics

• It is bounded between -1 and 1  $(-1 \le r \le 1)$ 

-r = -1 and r = 1 are perfect linear relationships

-r = 0 implies both no linear relationship and x, y are independent

- r makes no distinction between x and y
- r has no units of measurement
- Correlation is denoted as r for sample correlation and  $\rho$  for the population correlation.

$$r = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{s_x s_y}$$

### Coefficient of Determination, $R^2$

 $R^2$  is called the *coefficient of determination*:

- It is the proportion (or  $\times 100\%$ ) of observed variation that can be explained by the relationship between x and y
- $0 \le R^2 \le 1$ : It is bounded between 0 (0%) and 1 (100%)
  - The closer to 1 (100%), the more variation we can explain and also the stronger the linear relationship between x and y
    - \* An acceptable baseline for  $R^2$  would be when  $R^2 \ge 60\%$

#### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

Relatively strong, positive correlation





### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

Moderately strong, negative correlation



Time

r = -0.8

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

No correlation

r = 0



Х

### Analysis tools: scatterplot graph

No correlation but there is a relationship, it is not a linear relationship



### Old Faithful summary statistics

x is eruptions, y is waiting

[,1] sumxy 3787.985926 272.000000 n 3.487783 xbar ybar 70.897059 s2x 1.302728 s2y 184.823312 1.141371 sx sy 13.594974

### Reading R output

The following picture is a printout of a regression summary table from fit=lm(y~x,data=) and summary(fit)



### Notes on R output

**R** does not directly display correlation r in the regression output but it does display the  $R^2$  value (called Multiple R-squared)

Remember  $r = \pm \sqrt{R^2}$ , and use the sign of the slope to determine if r is positive or negative

It is a proportion in the output but can be converted to a percent (and usually is when discussing its results) easily

### Old Faithful Output

Call: lm(formula = waiting ~ eruptions, data = faithful) Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max 0.2122 -12.0796 -4.4831 3.9246 15.9719 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 33.4744 1.1549 28.98 <2e-16 \*\*\* eruptions 10.7296 0.3148 34.09 <2e-16 \*\*\* \_\_\_ Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 5.914 on 270 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.8115, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8108 F-statistic: 1162 on 1 and 270 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

#### Using the regression equation

Use of the equation works just like you are used to; given a specified value of x, solve the equation for the estimated y value called  $\hat{y}$  (y-hat)

$$\hat{y} = 33.47 + 10.73x$$

Find the values of  $\hat{y}$  and  $e_i$  for each of the following values: (2.283, 62), (5.1, 96)

 $\hat{y}_{|_{x=2.283}} = 33.474397 + 10.7296414 * 2.283 = 57.9701683$ 

 $\hat{y}_{|_{x=5.1}} = 33.474397 + 10.7296414 * 5.1 = 88.1955681$ 

Calculating residuals,  $e_i$ 

 $e_{|_{x=2.283}} = 62 - 57.9701683 = 53.0213743$ 

 $e_{|_{x=5.1}} = 96 - 88.1955681 = 87.0213743$ 

Since both  $e_i > 0$ , the model understimated the waiting times.

CIs for  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ 

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm t^\star(se_{\hat{\beta}_j})$$

Where  $\hat{\beta}_j$  is either  $\hat{\beta}_0(a)$  or  $\hat{\beta}_1(b)$ ; same goes for the se,  $t^* = t_{\alpha/2,df}$  and df = n-2 for both cases.

$$se_{\hat{\beta}_0} = \sqrt{s_{\epsilon}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{s_x^2(n-1)}\right)} \qquad se_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \sqrt{\frac{s_{\epsilon}^2}{s_x^2(n-1)}}$$
$$s_{\epsilon}^2 = \frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2} = \frac{\sum e_i^2}{n-2}$$

All of these values are on the output, listed as Std.Error (for  $se_{\hat{\beta}_0}$  and  $se_{\hat{\beta}_1}$ ) and Residual standard error for  $s_{\epsilon}$ 

### Hypothesis tests for the estimated slope ( $\beta_1$ ) and intercept ( $\beta_0$ )

- Most often the slope b is the only real test of interest
- Many times the value of x = 0 is not in the dataset (or the fact that mabye x = 0 is not possible in the population the data was sampled from). Without x = 0 in the dataset (or even possible at all), the intercept does not make sense in context
- Additionally, the slope is what is driving the relationship whereas the intercept just represents the value where the regression line crosses through the *y*-axis
- There are some economic datasets and many others that utilize the intercept because it make sense both mathematically and realistically.

### Hypothesis tests for the estimated slope ( $\beta_1$ or b) and intercept ( $\beta_0$ or a)

- The null hypothesis for the slope is to test if the slope is equal to zero
- A slope of zero is a horizontal line, where any value of x has the same y value
- Most often of interest is whether or not it is significant, the alternative hypothesis is to see if the slope is different from zero
- Realistically the hypothesized value could be something other than 0 if there is a need, like seeing if it has increased or decreased since the previous sample was taken and analyzed

### Test for $\beta_1$ , the slope

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad vs. \quad H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

Test Statistic:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{se_{\hat{\beta}_1}}$$

- The  $se_{\hat{\beta}_1}$  and df = n 2 are the same as for CIs
- Rejection criteria is the same as the t-tests learned in earlier modules (starting in module 9). Rejection of the null means the slope is significant; there is a significant relationship between x and y. Not rejecting the null means there is no significant relationship between x and y

#### Test for $\beta_0$ , the intercept

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_0 = 0 \ vs. \ H_a: \beta_0 \neq 0$$

Test Statistic:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{se_{\hat{\beta}_0}}$$

• The  $se_{\hat{\beta}_0}$  and df = n-2 are the same as for CIs

• Rejection criteria is the same as the *t*-tests learned in earlier modules (starting in module 9). Rejection of the null means the intercept is significant. Not rejecting the null just means the intercept is not significant (but has no impact on the significance of the slope)

95% CI for  $\beta_0$ 

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm t^\star(se_{\hat{\beta}_0})$$

df = n - 2 = 272 - 2 = 270 and  $t^{\star} = t_{\alpha/2,df} = 1.969$ 

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm t^*(se_{\hat{\beta}_0}) = 33.474397 \pm (1.969)(1.1548735) = 33.474397 \pm 2.273946$$

$$= 31.2004511, 35.748343$$

With 95% confidence the true y-intercept is between = 31.2004511 and 35.748343 minutes.

95% CI for  $\beta_1$ 

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t^\star(se_{\hat{\beta}_1})$$

df = n - 2 = 272 - 2 = 270 and  $t^* = t_{\alpha/2, df} = 1.969$ 

 $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t^\star(se_{\hat{\beta}_1}) = 10.7296414 \pm (1.969)(0.3147534) = 10.7296414 \pm 0.6197495$ 

= 10.1098919, 11.3493909

With 95% confidence the true slope is between 10.1098919 and 11.3493909 minutes.

Test for  $\beta_1$ 

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad vs. \quad H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

Test Statistic:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{se_{\hat{\beta}_1}} = \frac{10.7296414 - 0}{0.3147534} = 34.0890399$$

 $H_0$  can be rejected if  $|t_{calc}| \ge t_{\alpha/2,df}$  where df = n - 2. df = 272 - 2 = 270 and  $t_{\alpha/2,df} = 1.969$ Since  $|34.0890399| \ge 1.969$ , we reject  $H_0$ . The slope is significant (also means the relationship is significant).

### r and $R^2$

 $R^2$  (Multiple R-squared) is 0.8115 meaning that 81.15% of the variation in the estimated response is explained by the linear relationship modeled with x and y

We can explain approximately 81.15% of the variation in the response (waiting times) due to the linear relationship between x and y (which is good).

Since we are using output, r is calculated as  $r = +\sqrt{R^2} = +\sqrt{0.8115} = 0.9$ . There is a strong, positive linear relationship between eruptions and waiting of Old Faithful.

It is positive since the slope is positive (if r > 0 then  $\beta_1 > 0$ , if r < 0 then  $\beta_1 < 0$ , and vice versa)

#### Diagnostic plots used to check assumptions of slr

For checking assumptions, we need 3 graphs:

- Histogram of the residuals (#1,4)

- Scatterplot of residuals vs. predicted (#2)

- A normal probability plot, also called a QQ plot (#4)

- As for the  $3^{rd}$  assumption, there is often no need to check it except in specific circumstances, so just assume it is met

### Assumption 1: $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$

Mean of the residuals is  $\approx 0$ . For this, we look at a histogram of residuals to see if it is centered around zero (see if the histogram has the highest bar at zero)

Histogram of residuals



### Assumption 2: $V(\epsilon_i) = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$

The variance of the residuals is constant (the same) for all values of  $\hat{y}$ . The plot of x=predicted and y=residuals and it should have no discerable pattern (random scatter)

## **Residuals vs. Predicted**



# Assumption 4: $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$

Normality of residuals means that the histogram of residuals should be approximately symmetric/bell-shaped or that the QQplot (normal probability plot) shows that most points are along y=x line

**QQPlot of Residuals** 



### **Theoretical Quantiles**

### Notation

•  $\hat{\beta}_0$ : sample intercept

- β̂<sub>1</sub>: sample slope
  ŷ: estimated value of y, called y-hat
- $\overline{x}$ : mean of x values

- x: mean of x values
  y: mean of y values
  x; s<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>, s<sub>y</sub><sup>2</sup>: standard deviation of x and y values, respectively
  e<sub>i</sub>: sample residual (estimate of ε<sub>i</sub>), e<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>i</sub> ŷ<sub>i</sub> (observed y-estimated y)
  ŷ = a + bx: regression equation
  s<sub>ε</sub><sup>2</sup>: residual variance, variance of residuals
  s<sub>ε</sub>: residual standard error, standard error of residuals