

Plan for the rest of semester:

Today: Halting problem

Friday: ~~Equivalence~~ languages gen by unrestricted grammars are TA and every TA lang has a unrestricted grammar.

Next week: review

Halting problem: We have a way of encoding TM, and corresponding to that, encoding the input to our TM (we discussed this for the universal TM.) We have the language

$$L = \{ \cancel{w} w \in \{0,1\}^* \text{ where}$$

w is an encoding of a TM M followed by an encoding of input I to that TM, where M halts on input I }

Thm: L is not TD.

Note: L is TA/TE - ~~just~~ ~~can~~ it is accepted by the universal Turing machine (modified to first check if we have a valid encoding, and also modified so that every halting state is an accept state).

Short but uninformative proof:

Suppose L were TD for contradiction. Then we will prove that every TA/TE language is TD. How?

If we have a TA lang. X , there is a TM M that accepts X . ~~To~~ We construct a TM \hat{M} that decides X . \hat{M} first feeds M and w (w is our candidate for being in X) to the TM that decides L .

If this TM says M doesn't halt on w ,
 \hat{M} should say no,

If this TM says M does halt on w ,
 \hat{M} should run M and return
 M 's answer.

No matter what, \hat{M} halts, and it always
gives the right answer.

So \hat{M} decides X , so X is TD.

We know that not every TA lang is
TD, so our assumption that L is TD
must be false.

Longer, more informative proof:

Assume for contradiction that L is TD,
Then there is a TM H that decides L .

Method: We will construct from H a TM
that has paradoxical behavior - ~~it~~
~~with~~ there will be a string which it
should both accept and reject
(should neither reject nor accept).

0) From H , construct H' that will
halt only in one of two states -
one final state q_f and one non-final state q_n .

1) From H' construct H'' so that,
a) When H' enters q_f , ~~it~~ H'' goes into
an infinite loop. (add transitions
(q_f , anything) \rightarrow (q_f , same thing, R))
b) The behavior on entering q_n is
untouched.

H'' does the following:

- its input is a TM M , followed by input to M (called w) - all suitably encoded.
- if M halts on w , then H'' runs forever
- if M doesn't halt on w , then H'' halts. (in q_n)

2) Construct a TM \hat{H} that first copies its input, ~~and~~ (so if it starts with input w , ~~it~~ ~~has~~ puts $w0w$ on the tape), and moves the head all the way back to the beginning, then runs H'' .

\hat{H} is a TM, So we can encode \hat{H} as a string and feed it as input to \hat{H} .

What happens?

\hat{H} on any input should either run forever, or halt in state q_n .

Suppose \hat{H} runs forever, given the string for \hat{H} as input. Then \hat{H} actually does the following:

It duplicates the input to $\hat{H} \circ \hat{H}$ (as strings) and feeds answer to H'' . Since ~~\hat{H}~~ \hat{H} doesn't halt on the input \hat{H} (as a string), H'' halts in q_n .

Suppose \hat{H} halts given \hat{H} as input, then \hat{H} feeds $\hat{H} \circ \hat{H}$ to H'' , and runs forever.

This is nonsense, so \hat{H} can't exist, so H'' and H' and H can't exist.