

- Turing machine w/ a stay option.
(i.e. the transition function now ~~is~~ is

$$\delta: Q \times T \longrightarrow Q \times T \times \{L, R, S\}$$

(w/ ~~the~~ relevant def'n of how instants, descr. are affected by S)

- Turing machines w/ multiple tapes (one head)
- Turing machines w/ multiple heads
- ~~there~~ - there is a complicated way to simulate w/ a standard TM.

Nondet - TM

$$(q_0, \square) \longrightarrow (q_0, \square, L)$$

$$(q_0, \square) \longrightarrow (q_0, \square, R)$$

(The stupidest ~~non~~ non-det TM possible)

Def: A non-det TM accepts ~~the~~ a string w if any of the ~~sequences~~ sequences of transitions allowed leads to a halting in a final state.

Closure properties of CF langs

If L_1 & L_2 are CF langs:

$L_1 \cup L_2$ is CF

$L_1 L_2$ is CF

L_1^* is CF

$L_1 \cap L_2$ is not in general CF

(but $L_1 \cap L_2$ is CF if

L_1 is CF

L_2 is reg)

$\overline{L_1}$ is not CF

Ex. $L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid \text{any } n, m\}$

$L_2 = \{a^m b^n c^n \mid \text{any } n, m\}$

~~$\overline{L_1}$ is not in general CF~~

~~D~~

Problem P

Input: $\langle M, N \rangle$

Output: Y if $L(M) = L(N)$
 N otherwise

Why is this undecidable?

Assume there is a TM^P that solves P .
use P to solve Halting Problem?

Input A TM
 w string

⊙ ~~change~~ modify A so that it accepts whenever it halts (by making every state final - or ~~making~~ changing all halting transitions to go to a special final state)

② Take A and modify it ^{to A_w} so that
~~it~~

if a) first check if the input string is w

b) ~~If no, reject; if yes,~~
run A (on w), ^{no matter what input is,}
accepting on halting.

So ~~A_w~~ - if A halts on w ,

$L(A_w) = \{w\}$ every string

If A doesn't halt on w ,

$L(A_w) = \emptyset$.

③ Make a TM \overline{W} that accepts
~~only w ,~~ every string

④ Feed \overline{W} and A_w to P .