イロン イ理 とくほとう ほとう

æ

### Delta-Springer varieties

# $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Alexander Woo (U. Idaho)} \\ \mbox{joint work with Sean Griffin (ICERM/Davis) and Jake Levinson} \\ \mbox{(SFU)} \end{array}$

CMS Summer Meting, June 8, 2021

Alexander Woo (U. Idaho) joint work with Sean Griffin (ICERM/Davis) and Jake Levinson (SFU)

Delta-Springer varieties

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Fix a positive integer K and a composition  $\mu$  of K. A  $\mu$ -flag is a sequence of subspaces

$$V_{\bullet} = V_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{\ell(\mu)} = \mathbb{C}^K$$

where dim $(V_j) = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_j$ . When  $\mu = 1^K$ , this is a **complete flag**.

The flag variety  $\operatorname{Fl}(\mathbb{C}^{K})$  is the set of all complete flags and the partial flag variety  $\operatorname{Fl}_{\mu}(\mathbb{C}^{K})$  is the set of all  $\mu$ -flags.

#### Schubert cells

Fix an ordered basis  $f_1, \ldots, f_K$  for  $\mathbb{C}^K$ , and let *B* be the subgroup of matrices that are upper-triangular with respect to this basis.

Given a permutation w, we have a  $\mu$ -flag  $V^{(w)}$  where  $V_j^{(w)} = \langle f_{w(1)}, \ldots, f_{w(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_j)} \rangle$ . Elements  $u, v \in S_n$  give the same flag iff they are in the same left coset of  $S_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mu_\ell}$ .

The **Schubert cell**  $C_w$  is the *B* orbit of  $V^{(w)}$ . The Schubert cells give a cell decomposition of  $\operatorname{Fl}_{\mu}(\mathbb{C}^{K})$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Springer fibers

Let *N* be a nilpotent operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{K}$  of Jordan type  $\lambda$ . The **Springer fiber**  $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}$  is the subvariety of the flag variety consisting of flags  $V_{\bullet}$  where  $NV_{i} \subseteq V_{i-1}$  for all *i*.

For an appropriate choice of N,  $C_w \cap \mathcal{B}^{\lambda}$  is always  $\mathbb{C}^d$  for some d; this gives an affine paving of  $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Cohomology of Springer fibers

Tanisaki gave a presentation for the cohomology ring of a Springer fiber  $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}$ . Let  $\lambda'$  be the conjugate partition to  $\lambda$ , with  $\lambda'_j = 0$  for  $j > \lambda_1 = \ell(\lambda')$ . Define

$$I_{\lambda} = \langle e_d(S) \mid d > \#S - (\lambda'_{K-\#S+1} + \cdots + \lambda'_K) \rangle,$$

where  $S \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  and  $e_d(S)$  means the *d*-th elementary symmetric functions in the variables in *S*. Then

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/I_{\lambda},$$

where  $x_i = -c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$  (the negative of the Chern class of the *i*-th tautological line bundle).

2

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

### Cohomology example

Recall

$$I_{\lambda} = \langle e_d(S) \mid d > \#S - (\lambda'_{K-\#S+1} + \dots + \lambda'_K) \rangle,$$
  
For  $\lambda =$ , we get that  $I_{\lambda}$  is generated by all the  
elementary symmetric functions in 7 variables,  $e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6$  in 6  
variables,  $e_4, e_5$  in 5 variables, and  $e_4$  in 4 variables. This means

$$I_{\lambda} = \langle x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5, \dots, x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + \dots + x_4 x_5 x_6, \\ \dots, x_1 x_2 + \dots + x_6 x_7, x_1 + \dots + x_7 \rangle.$$

#### Symmetric group action on cohomology

The group  $S_n$  acts on  $H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda})$  by permuting the variables  $x_i$ . Under this action,  $H^{2d}(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}) \cong V^{\lambda}$ , the irreducible representation usually associated to  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the graded Frobenius characteristic, which assigns  $q^d s_{\lambda}$  to an instance of  $V^{\lambda}$  in degree 2d, it turns out

$$\mathcal{F}(H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}) = \tilde{H}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{x}; q),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_{\lambda}$  is one version of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

This is one of the important motivations for studying Springer fibers and their cohomology (and known under more abstract terms before Tanisaki).

#### About the Delta Conjecture (now theorem)

The Delta Conjecture of Haglund–Remmel–Wilson (now a theorem of D'Adderio–Mellit and Blasiak–Haiman–Morse–Pun–Seelinger) gives a combinatorial formula for the symmetric function  $\Delta'_{e_{k-1}}e_n(q,t)$ , where  $\Delta'$  is a family of operators coming from the theory of Macdonald polynomials.

Haglund, Rhoades, and Shimozono showed that the Frobenius characteristic of

$$R_{n,k} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, e_n, e_{n-1}, \dots, e_{n-k+1} \rangle$$
  
is  $\Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_n(q, 0).$ 

(日)

### Spanning Line Arrangements

Pawlowski and Rhodes showed that  $R_{n,k}$  is the cohomology ring of the space of spanning line arrangements: the set of lines  $(L_1, \ldots, L_n) \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$  such that the span of  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  is all of  $\mathbb{C}^k$ .

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

#### Generalizing both rings simultaneously

Griffin defined the following generalization of both  $R_{n,k}$  and  $H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda})$ . Let  $k \leq n, \lambda$  a partition of k, and  $s \geq \ell(\lambda)$ . Define

$$I_{n,\lambda,s} = \langle e_d(S) \mid d > \#S - (\lambda'_{n-\#S+1} + \cdots + \lambda'_n) \rangle,$$

where  $S_{\subseteq}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  and  $e_d(S)$  means the *d*-th elementary symmetric functions in the variables *S*. Define

$$R_{n,\lambda,s} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\langle x_1^s,\ldots,x_n^s\rangle + I_{n,\lambda,s}.$$

Griffin gives a basis of standard monomials and calculates the graded Frobenius characteristic in the spirit of Garsia–Procesi. The top degree cohomology is  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{L}}^{S_{n}}V^{\lambda}$ .

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三

#### Example

#### Recall

$$I_{n,\lambda,s} = \langle e_d(S) \mid d > \#S - (\lambda'_{n-\#S+1} + \cdots + \lambda'_n) \rangle$$

For n = 7,  $\lambda = [$ , s = 4,  $I_{n,\lambda,s}$  should have  $e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7$  in 7 variables,  $e_5, e_6$  in 6 variables,  $e_5$  in 5 variables. This means

$$R_{n,\lambda,s} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_7] / \langle x_1^4, \dots, x_7^4, x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5, \dots, x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7, \\ = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 + \dots + x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 \rangle$$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

#### Special cases

For 
$$n = k = |\lambda|$$
,  $R_{n,\lambda,s} = H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\lambda})$ .  
For  $s = k = (1^k), R_{n,\lambda,s} = R_{n,k}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Main Question

#### Is $R_{n,\lambda,s}$ the cohomology ring for some (compact) variety?

#### The Delta-Springer varieties

Given a positive integer *n*, a partition  $\lambda$  of *k* for some k < n, and some integer  $s \ge \ell(\lambda)$ , define

∧ = (n − k + λ<sub>1</sub>,..., n − k + λ<sub>ℓ</sub>, n − k,..., n − k) so that Λ has s parts. (Add an s × (n − k) rectangle to the left of λ)

$$\blacktriangleright K = s(n-k) + k = |\Lambda|$$

• *N* a nilpotent operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{K}$  of Jordan type  $\Lambda$ .

Define  $Y_{n,\lambda,s} \subseteq \operatorname{Fl}_{(1^n,(s-1)(n-k))}(\mathbb{C}^K)$  as the set of partial flags

$$V_{\bullet} = V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n$$

of type  $(1^n, (s-1)(n-k))$  such that  $NV_j \subseteq V_{j-1}$  for all j, AND  $N^{n-k}\mathbb{C}^K \subseteq V_n$ .

<ロ> <四> <四> <三> <三</td>

#### Main Theorem

We show

$$H^*(Y_{n,\lambda,s})=R_{n,\lambda,s},$$

with  $x_j = -c_1(\mathcal{L}_j)$ .

# Affine paving

Given an injective filling T of  $\Lambda$  by  $\{1, \ldots, K\}$ , we can define a particular nilpotent operator  $N_T$ . If T satisfies certain criteria, then  $C_w \cap Y_T$  is always  $\mathbb{C}^d$  for some d. There is a combinatorial rule for dim $(C_w \cap Y_T)$  (including when it is nonempty).

The proof is by induction on n, and we get a recursion for the Hilbert series of  $H^*(Y_{n,\lambda,s})$  that matches the recursion for the Hilbert series of  $R_{n,\lambda,s}$ . The proof is a variant of those of Shimomura, Tymoczko, and Fresse for  $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda}$ .

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

3

#### Cell example

#### Cell example, II

Recall 
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 5 & 3 \\ 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Pick w = 38152. Then

Alexander Woo (U. Idaho) joint work with Sean Griffin (ICERM/Davis) and Jake Levinson (SFU)

**Delta-Springer varieties** 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国 ● の Q @

#### The $\lambda = \emptyset$ case

When  $\lambda = \emptyset$ , then we can explicitly construct  $Y_{n,\emptyset,s}$  as a tower of  $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$  bundles. We know which bundles these are and can compute directly that  $H^*(Y_{n,\emptyset,s}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle x_1^s, \ldots, x_n^2 \rangle$ .

For any  $\lambda$ , we have an embedding of  $Y_{n,\lambda,s}$  into  $Y_{n,\emptyset,s}$ . Because the complement of the image is also paved by affines, the induced map  $H^*(Y_{n,\emptyset,s}) \to H^*(Y_{n,\lambda,s})$  is a surjection.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国 ● の Q @

#### Spaltenstein varieties

Let *N* be a nilpotent operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{K}$  of Jordan type  $\nu$ . The **Spaltenstein variety**  $\mathcal{B}^{\nu}_{\mu}$  is the subvariety of the  $\mu$ -partial flag variety consisting of  $\mu$ -flags  $V_{\bullet}$  where  $NV_{i} \subseteq V_{i-1}$  for all *i*. (Note: dim $(V_{i}) - \dim(V_{i-1}) = \mu_{i}$ .)

Recall  $Y_{n,\lambda,s}$  paramaterizes  $(1^n, (n-k)(s-1))$ -flags where  $NV_i \subseteq V_{i-1}$  and  $N^{n-k}\mathbb{C}^K \subseteq V_n$ .

Hence there is a map  $\pi : \mathcal{B}^{\Lambda}_{(1^n,(s-1)^{n-k})} \to Y_{n,\lambda,s}$  (by forgetting the last n-k parts of the partial flag).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Cohomology information from Spaltenstein

We show that, given further restrictions on T, over each "cell" of the Delta-Springer variety, the map  $\pi$  is projection from a product (with an appropriate smaller Spaltenstein variety).

This shows the Spaltenstein variety has an affine paving compatible with  $\pi$  and the affine paving of the Delta-Springer fiber, which shows the induced map  $\pi^* : H^*(Y_{n,\lambda,s}) \to H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda}_{1^n,(s-1)^{n-k}})$  is injective.

Brundan and Ostrik have computed the cohomology of the Spaltenstein variety, and the remaining relations generating  $I_{n,\lambda,s}$  are among the relations for  $H^*(\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda}_{1^n,(s-1)^{n-k}})$ .

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・

12

#### What I haven't told you

- Explicit description of the irreducible components. There are dim(Ind<sup>S<sub>n</sub></sup>V<sup>λ</sup>) many of them, each of dimension n(λ) + (s − 1)(n − k).
- The ind-variety as  $s \to \infty$ .

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・

3

### Questions

- RSK means what? (Steinberg analogue)
- Equivariant cohomology? (Kumar-Procesi and Goresky-Macpherson analogue)
- Hessenberg analogues?
- Other (classical) types?

イロン イ理 とくほとう ほとう

æ



Thank you for your attention!