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ALEXANDER WOO

ABSTRACT. We extend the idea of interval pattern avoidance defined by Yong and the author for $S_n$ to arbitrary Weyl groups using the definition of pattern avoidance due to Billey and Braden, and Billey and Postnikov. We show that, as previously shown by Yong and the author for $GL_n$, interval pattern avoidance is a universal tool for characterizing which Schubert varieties have certain local properties, and where these local properties hold.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this brief note is to extend the notions of interval pattern embedding and avoidance introduced by Yong and the author in type A [21] to Schubert varieties of arbitrary Lie type. This extension is the natural common generalization of the definition in type A and the definition of pattern avoidance coming from root subsystems, as introduced combinatorially by Billey and Postnikov [4] and explained geometrically via the pattern map by Billey and Braden [3]. (In type A, the pattern map was also implicit in work of N. Bergeron and Sottile [1].)

The main reason for our definition of interval pattern avoidance is that it gives a universal tool for describing local properties on Schubert varieties, in the sense that the set of points on all Schubert varieties satisfying any given local property (except for dimension) has a characterization using only interval pattern avoidance. The main example of such a property for which results are known is smoothness. The Schubert varieties which are smooth everywhere can be characterized by ordinary pattern avoidance [14, 2, 4]. The locus of singular points in any Schubert variety of type A was described independently in several papers [5, 10, 12, 16]; this description can be easily reformulated in terms of interval pattern embeddings [21, Thm. 6.1]. One purpose for our more general formulation of interval pattern embeddings is to provide the appropriate language for a similar description of the singular locus in other Lie types.

For geometric properties other than smoothness, ordinary pattern avoidance is sometimes insufficient even for characterizing which Schubert varieties have the given property globally. For example, the Schubert varieties which are everywhere Gorenstein [20] or everywhere factorial [7] cannot be characterized by ordinary pattern avoidance. However, Schubert varieties having these properties, or any local property preserved under products with affine space, can be characterized using interval pattern avoidance.
This universality is demonstrated by showing that interval pattern embeddings give an isomorphism of slices of different Schubert varieties. This isomorphism is proven using the pattern map of Billey and Braden; when written in coordinates, the proof becomes essentially the same as the one previously given for type A. However, this new proof shows the isomorphism extends to the Richardson varieties which are the closures of the slices, which is a new result even in type A.

I thank Frank Sottile and Mark Haiman, who independently suggested that there should be some geometric explanation for the forerunner of interval pattern avoidance found in the characterization of Gorenstein Schubert varieties. In addition, I thank Alexander Yong and Sara Billey for helpful discussions, and Vic Reiner and Ezra Miller for organizational advice and for the name “interval pattern avoidance”. Research was supported in part by NSF VIGRE grant No. DMS–0135345.

2. Pattern Avoidance and Interval Pattern Avoidance

Fix a ground field \( k \). Let \( G \) be a connected semisimple linear algebraic group over \( k \), \( B \) a fixed Borel subgroup, and \( T \subseteq B \subseteq G \) a maximal torus. Let \( \Phi \) be the roots of \( G \) under the action of \( T \), \( \Phi^+ \) and \( \Phi^- \) the positive and negative roots corresponding to our choice of Borel subgroup, and \( \Delta^+ \) the set of simple positive roots. Let \( V \) be the inner product space spanned by the root lattice. The Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) is the group generated by the reflections \( \{ s_\alpha \in \text{End}(V) \mid \alpha \in \Phi \} \), where \( s_\alpha(v) = v - 2(v, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)\alpha \). The length \( \ell(w) \) of an element in a Weyl group is the minimal length of any expression \( w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}\cdots s_{\beta_k} \), where each \( \beta_j \) is a simple root. The Weyl group can also be recovered from \( G \) as the group \( N(T)/T \). Pattern avoidance depends not only on the abstract Weyl group but also on the root system it acts on; to emphasize this, in the remainder of the paper, we denote a Weyl group by the triple \( (W, \Phi, V) \).

The variety \( G/B \) is known as the flag variety. The group \( G \) acts on \( G/B \) via multiplication on the left. To each element of \( u \in (W, \Phi, V) \) (here considered as \( N(T)/T \) we can associate the \( T \)-fixed point \( e_u := uB \), and these are all the \( T \)-fixed points of \( G/B \). There is a Bruhat decomposition of \( G/B \) into Schubert cells \( X_u := Be_u B/B \), one for each \( w \in W \), and the Schubert variety \( X_w \) is the closure of the Schubert cell \( X_u \). There is also a decomposition of \( G/B \) into opposite Schubert cells \( \Omega^+_w = B_- e_w B/B \), where \( B_- \) is the Borel subgroup opposite to \( B \); the closure of the opposite Schubert cell \( \Omega^-_w \) is called an opposite Schubert variety and is denoted \( \Omega^w \). The Richardson variety \( X^u_v \) is the intersection of \( \Omega_u \) and \( X_v \); Richardson showed that it is reduced and irreducible (when nonempty) \cite{Richardson}. The dimension of \( X_w \) and the codimension in \( G/B \) of \( \Omega^w \) are both \( \ell(w) \). The dimension of \( X^u_v \) is \( \ell(v) - \ell(u) \).

The Schubert variety \( X_w \) is a union of Schubert cells. We define the Bruhat order on \( (W, \Phi, V) \) by declaring that \( u \leq v \) if \( X_u \subseteq X_v \). Alternatively, Bruhat order can be defined combinatorially by declaring it to be the reflexive transitive closure of the relation \( < \) under which \( u < v \) if both \( u = s_\alpha v \) for some \( \alpha \in \Phi \) and \( \ell(u) < \ell(v) \). This combinatorial definition has a geometric explanation; when \( u \) and \( v \) are so related, the curve \( \overline{U_\alpha \cdot e_v} \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) inside \( X_v \).
connecting \( e_a \) and \( e_r \). Here, \( U_\alpha \) is the root subgroup of \( B \) corresponding to the root \( \alpha \). The Richardson variety \( X^\circ_x \) is nonempty if and only if \( u \leq v \).

Now we recall the definitions of pattern embeddings and pattern avoidance found in [3, 4]. Let \((W', \Phi', V')\) and \((W, \Phi, V)\) be Weyl groups. A subsystem embedding \( i \) of \((W', \Phi', V')\) into \((W, \Phi, V)\) is an embedding of \( V' \) as a subspace of \( V \) so that \( \Phi' \cong \Phi \cap i(V') \); this induces an embedding of \( W' \) into \( W \) as the subgroup generated by the reflections \( \{ s_\alpha | \alpha \in i(\Phi') \} \).

Define the flattening map \( \phi_i \) from \((W, \Phi, V)\) to \((W', \Phi', V')\) as follows. An element \( w \in (W; \Phi, V) \) is uniquely determined by its inversion set \( I(w) = \Phi_+ \cap w(\Phi_-) \). Therefore we can define \( \phi_i(w) \) as the element of \((W', \Phi', V')\) whose inversion set is \( i^{-1}(I(w) \cap i(\Phi^+)) \). Then \( i \) (pattern) embeds \( v \in (W', \Phi', V') \) in \( w \in (W, \Phi, V) \) if \( \phi_i(w) = v \). The Weyl group element \( w \) is said to (pattern) avoid \( v \) if \( \phi_i(w) \neq v \) for every embedding \( i \) of \((W', \Phi', V')\) into \((W, \Phi, V)\).

Our definition of interval pattern avoidance is now as follows. Let \( u \leq v \in (W', \Phi', V') \) and \( x \leq w \in (W, \Phi, V) \), where \( \leq \) denotes the Bruhat order. Let \( i \) be a subsystem embedding of \((W', \Phi', V')\) into \((W, \Phi, V)\). We say \( i \) (interval pattern) embeds \([u, v]\) in \([x, w]\) if the following three conditions are all satisfied.

1. \( \phi_i(w) = v \) and \( \phi_i(x) = u \).
2. \( x \) and \( w \) are in the same right \( i(W') \) coset.
3. \([u, v]\) and \([x, w]\) are isomorphic as intervals in Bruhat order.

The third condition implies in particular that \( \ell(v) - \ell(u) = \ell(w) - \ell(x) \). This equality in lengths is actually sufficient to imply the third condition, given the first two; a combinatorial proof of this fact is possible, but the geometry below also shows it.

Note that the first two conditions imply that \( x = i(uw^{-1})w \). Since \( x \) is determined by \( u, v, w, \) and \( i \), we will say that \( w \) (interval pattern) avoids \([u, v]\) if, for every subsystem embedding \( i \) of \((W', \Phi', V')\) into \((W, \Phi, V)\), \([u, v]\) does not embed in \([i(uw^{-1})w, w]\).

In Type A, where \( W \) and \( W' \) are respectively \( S_n \) and \( S_m \) for some \( n \geq m \), arguments of Billey and Braden [3, Sect. 2.3] show that the notion of interval pattern avoidance found here is the same (up to the Dynkin diagram automorphism realized by conjugation by the longest element \( w_0 \)) as the previous definition of Yong and the author [21]. When \( u = v \) and \( x = w \), our notion is the same as that introduced by Billey and Postnikov [4].

3. Main Theorem and Corollary

Our main theorem can now be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose there is some subsystem embedding \( i \) which embeds \([u, v]\) in \([x, w]\). Then the Richardson varieties \( X^\circ_x \) and \( X^\circ_w \) are isomorphic. This isomorphism sends \( \Omega^\circ_{\sigma} \cap X^\circ_\tau \) to \( \Omega^\circ_{\phi_i(\sigma)} \cap X^\circ_{\phi_i(\tau)} \) for every \( \sigma, \tau \in [x, w] \).

The main application of this theorem we have in mind is to the study of singularities of Schubert varieties. Call a local property \( \mathcal{P} \) semicontinuously stable if it is preserved under products with affine space, and the \( \mathcal{P} \)-locus on any variety is closed. Examples include
being singular, being non-Gorenstein, or having multiplicity greater than some fixed number \( k \). In addition, the dimension of the \( i \)-th local intersection homology group and hence the \( i \)-th coefficient of the Kazhdan-Luzstig polynomial being greater than a fixed number \( k \) is not in general semicontinuously stable, but behaves as such on Schubert varieties by a theorem of Irving [11].

Now define a poset on the set of all intervals in all Weyl groups (where, as throughout, the root system is considered part of the data of the Weyl group) by taking the reflexive transitive closure of the following two relations.

1. \([u,v] \prec [x,w]\) if there is some embedding of \([u,v]\) into \([x,w]\).
2. \([u,v] \prec [u',v]\) if \( u \leq u' \)

Now we can state our corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a semicontinuously stable property. Then the set of intervals such that \( \{[u,v] \mid \mathcal{P} \text{ holds at } e_u \text{ on } X_v\} \) is an upper order ideal on the aforementioned poset. The set \( \{w \mid \mathcal{P} \text{ holds on no points of } X_w\} \) is the set of \( w \) avoiding some list of intervals \([u,v]\).

Notice that this corollary holds separately for different ground fields, in that the order ideal for the same property may depend on \( k \). The list of intervals to be avoided may be infinite, but we hope that for any particular property it has a nice form.

**Proof.** The point \( e_u \) has a neighborhood \( u \cdot \Omega_u^0 \) in \( G/B \), so \( u \cdot \Omega_u^0 \cap X_v \) is a neighborhood of \( e_u \) on \( X_v \). This neighborhood is isomorphic to \( (\Omega_u^0 \cap X_v) \times \mathbb{A}^{l(u)} \) [13, Lemma A.4]. Therefore, any semicontinuously stable property \( \mathcal{P} \) depends only on \( \Omega_u^0 \cap X_v \), which is commonly called the slice of \( X_v \) at \( e_u \). Our theorem now shows that \( \mathcal{P} \) is preserved under going up in our poset by the first type of generating relation, since \( \Omega_u^0 \cap X_v \) is isomorphic to \( \Omega_x^0 \cap X_w \).

As for the second type of generating relation, we can by induction on Bruhat order reduce to the case where \( u' = s_\alpha u \). In that case, \( U_\alpha \cdot e_u \) is a curve in \( X_v \) all of whose points have neighborhoods isomorphic to the neighborhood at \( e_u \) (since \( X_v \) has a \( B \)-action). The closure of \( U_\alpha \cdot e_u \) includes the additional point \( e_{u'} \). Since the set at which \( \mathcal{P} \) holds is closed, \( \mathcal{P} \) is also preserved under going up by the second type of generating relation.

The last statement follows by taking a generating set for the order ideal. \( \square \)

We also have the following corollary about Kazhdan-Luzstig polynomials, generalizing a lemma of Polo [17, Lemma 2.6]. (See also [3, Thm. 6].) This corollary can also be deduced from the algorithm introduced by Braden and Macpherson in [8] to calculate intersection cohomology from moment graphs, as a subsystem embedding gives an isomorphism of intervals in moment graphs and not merely an isomorphism of intervals in Bruhat order.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose a subsystem embedding embeds \([u,v]\) into \([x,w]\). Then the Kazhdan-Luzstig polynomials \( P_{u,v}(q) \) and \( P_{x,w}(q) \) are equal.

It is conjectured that \( P_{u,v}(q) = P_{x,w}(q) \) whenever \([u,v]\) and \([x,w]\) are isomorphic as intervals, and this theorem confirms a very special case of this conjecture. Kazhdan-Luzstig polynomials and this conjecture are discussed with further references in [6].
4. The Pattern Map

To prove the theorem, we use the geometric pattern map introduced by Billey and Braden [3]. Let $T_0$ be a one parameter subgroup of $T$ which is generic among subgroups satisfying $\alpha(T_0) = 1$ for every $\alpha \in i(\Phi')$. (Recall that roots are actually characters of $T$, which are homomorphisms from $T$ to $\mathbb{k}^\times$.) Let $G'$ be the centralizer $Z_G(T_0)$ of $T_0$. The Weyl group and roots of $G'$ are then $i(W')$ and $i(\Phi')$. In $G'$ we fix the Borel subgroup $B' = G' \cap B$.

Now Billey and Braden define a map $\psi : (G/B)^{T_0} \rightarrow (G'/B')$ as follows. There is a bijection between points of $G/B$ and Borel subgroups of $G$ given by associating to the coset $gB$ the Borel subgroup $gB$ of $G$. Now define $\psi(gB)$ to be the point in $G'/B'$ associated with the Borel subgroup $gB^{-1}gB$. This is a Borel subgroup of $G'$ whenever $gB$ is fixed by $T_0$ [18, Thm. 6.4.7]. Billey and Braden prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** [3, Thm. 10]

1. The map $\psi$ restricts to an isomorphism on each connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$.
2. For any $w \in (W, \Phi, V)$, the restriction of $\psi$ is an isomorphism between $X_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ and $X_{\phi_i(w)}^\circ$ taking $e_w$ to $e_{\phi_i(w)}$.

Their proof of part 2 (which is a combination of parts 2 and 3 of their original statement) also shows that $\psi$ restricts to an isomorphism between $\Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ and $\Omega_{\phi_i(w)}^\circ$.

As remarked by Billey and Braden [3] (see also [15, Prop. 4.2]), this geometric pattern map explains why ordinary pattern avoidance characterizes singular Schubert varieties. Given any one parameter torus $T_0 \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ acting on a Schubert variety, if the $T_0$ fixed locus is singular, the entire Schubert variety must be singular. If there is a pattern embedding $i$ of $v$ into $w$, and $X_v$ is singular, then $\psi$ gives an isomorphism between $X_w \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ and $X_v$, showing that $X_w$ is singular.

5. Proof of Main Theorem

We will show that $X_w^\circ$ and $X_v^\circ$ are isomorphic under the map $\psi$. First we show that $e_w$ and $e_x$ are in the same connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$, as follows. Since $x$ and $w$ are in the same right $W'$ coset, we can successively multiply $x$ on the left by reflections $s_\alpha$, with $\alpha \in \Phi'$, to get $w$. If $\sigma = s_\alpha \tau$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi'$, then $e_\sigma$ and $e_\tau$ are in the same connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$ since the points are connected by the Schubert curve $U_\alpha \cdot e_\sigma$ (assuming $\sigma \geq \tau$), and $U_\alpha$ is $T_0$ fixed as $\alpha \in \Phi'$.

Now, by part 2 of the theorem, $X_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ and $\Omega_x^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ are connected, so, given that $e_w$ and $e_x$ are in the same connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$, $(X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_x^\circ) \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ is contained in a single connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$. Therefore, $\psi$ is an isomorphism when restricted to $X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_x^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$.

We show that the image of $X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_x^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ is $X_v^\circ \cap \Omega_u^\circ$. If $p \in X_v^\circ \cap \Omega_u^\circ$, then $p = \psi(p_1)$ for some $p_1 \in X_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$, and $p = \psi(p_2)$ for some $p_2 \in \Omega_x^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$. Since $X_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ and $\Omega_x^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}$ lie in the same connected component of $(G/B)^{T_0}$, by part
1 of the theorem, \( p_1 = p_2 \in X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0} \). Conversely, for \( p \in X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0} \), \( \psi(p) \in \psi(X_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}) \cap \psi(\Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0}) = X_v^\circ \cap \Omega_v^\circ \).

In particular, \( X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0} \) has dimension \( \ell(v) - \ell(u) \). Since we have a pattern embedding from \([u, v]\) to \([x, w]\), \( \ell(v) - \ell(u) = \ell(w) - \ell(x) \), so the dimension of \( X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \cap (G/B)^{T_0} \) is the same as the dimension of \( X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \). As the latter is known to be irreducible \([19]\) (or \([9, \text{Prop. 1.3.2}]\)), \( X_w^\circ \cap \Omega_w^\circ \), and therefore its closure \( X_w^\circ \), must be pointwise \( T_0 \)-fixed.

Since \( X_w^\circ \) is connected and pointwise \( T_0 \)-fixed, it must be isomorphic to its image under \( \psi \). This image is the closure of \( X_v^\circ \cap \Omega_v^\circ \), which is \( X_v^\circ \).

The calculation of the image can be repeated for every \( \sigma, \tau \in [x, w] \), proving the second statement.
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