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Voter Registration Comparison for Years 2008 and 2012

## INTRODUCTION

- Is there a significant difference in the number of registered voters per state between 2008 and 2012?
- Using census data for those 2 years, I will investigate these changes
- Systematic Sampling combined with some Simple Random Sampling will be used to determine these differences and their impact


## SAMAPLING DESIGN

KEY TERMS

- ELEMENTS ARE THE VOTER POPULATION
- POPULATION IS THE US REGISTERED VOTERS
- SAMPLING UNITS ARE THE VOTERS REGISTERED IN YEARS 2008 AND 2012
- FRAME IS EACH INDIVIDUAL VOTER, REGISTERED IN EACH STATE


## SAMPLING CHOICE

- CONSIDERED STRATIFICATION, RATIO AND SYSTEMATIC
- CHOSE SYSTEMATIC BECAUSE ITS QUICK, EASY AND REVEALS TRENDS QUICKLY


## METHODS AND IMPLEMENTAION

- SAMPLE WAS A 1 IN 7 SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING
- Example of the 1 in 7 data table from 2012

|  |  | Total registered |  | Percent registered (Total) | Margin of Error 1 | Percent registered (Citizen) | Margin of Error | Total voted | Percent voted (Total) |  | Margin of Errc ( |  | Margin of Erro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 3,656 | 3,519 | 2,366 | 64.7 | 2.4 | 67.2 |  | 2.4 | 1,497 | 40.9 | 2.4 | 42.5 | 2.5 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,783 | 2,560 | 1,668 | 59.9 | 2.4 | 65.1 |  | 2.5 | 1,195 | 43 | 2.5 | 46.7 | 2.6 |
| ILINOIS | 9,757 | 8,951 | 5,716 | 58.6 | 1.5 | 63.9 |  | 1.5 | 3,734 | 38.3 | 1.5 | 41.7 | 1.6 |
| MARYLAND | 4,542 | 4,148 | 2,995 | 65.9 | 2.2 | 72.2 |  | 2.1 | 2,028 | 44.7 | 2.3 | 48.9 | 2.4 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,400 | 1,306 | 873 | 62.4 | 2.6 | 66.9 |  | 2.6 | 579 | 41.4 | 2.6 | 44.3 | 2.8 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 567 | 547 | 363 | 64.1 | 2.4 | 66.4 |  | 2.4 | 279 | 49.2 | 2.5 | 51 | 2.5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 625 | 618 | 401 | 64.2 | 2.6 | 64.9 |  | 2.6 | 273 | 43.7 | 2.7 | 44.1 | 2.7 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,449 | 1,440 | 896 | 61.8 | 2.5 | 62.2 |  | 2.5 | 484 | 33.4 | 2.5 | 33.6 | 2.5 |

- Example of the Excel Analysis

| 2008 Average \# Voters | 18,980 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| SD \# Voters | 1822.389876 |
| Average \# Voted | 16,837 |
| SD Voted | 1624.20094 |
|  |  |
| 2012 Average \# Voters | 15278 |
| SD \# Voters | 1800.921014 |
| Average \# Voted | 10069 |
| SD Voted | 1181.605801 |

## ANALYSIS

## Average Number of Voters per State (in Thousands)



## ANALYSIS

- DATA INDICATES THAT LESS PEOPLE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN 2012 THAN IN 2008.

| Year |  | Variance | Bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 166.718954 | 25.8 |
|  | 2012 | 164.705882 | 25.668 |

- Variance and Bound were actually quite close, but overall there were less votes cast in 2012

| Diff 2008 | Diff 2012 | Diff Between |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.88709168 | 0.65905223 | 0.80495258 |

- In 2008, there was an 88\% Voter turnout, compared to a 66\% turnout in 2012.


## CONCLUSION

- THE MORE CONCERNING OUTCOME OF THIS SAMPLING IS THAT IN 2012 THERE WAS A 20\% DECREASE IN THE VOTER PARTICIPATION FROM 2008.
- The Systematic sampling let me quickly find this trend and analyze the voter turn-out behavior in these 2 federal election years.
- I found that sampling could be done much quicker and easier than I had anticipated. And more importantly, it reveals trends that are worthy of further study.

